Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/913,903	RAMSHAW ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	N. Bhat	1764
All Participants:	Status of Applicat	ion:
(1) <u>N. Bhat</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>B. North</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>11 April 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:] Applicant's representative) No	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Possible 112, 2 nd Paragraph		
Claims discussed: claims 1, 27, and 42	·	
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE OF WH	AT WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	tion. The examiner will provide eparate record of the substance	e a written summary of the substance e of the interview, since the interview
		•
	~	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	applicant/Applicant's Represen	tative Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/913,903

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner discussed with applicant's representative that the recitation "with a periphery " is not clear, and applicant was suggested to recite that the surface has a peripheral wall or an the surface includes an edge, or anything else which does not obfuscate the claim. Applicant suggested to amend the claims to dleete "with a periphery" and replace "periphery thereof" to --surface-- the changes were authorized by Examiner's Amendment..